P.T. 126-92

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1891-92
~~192~~.
(L. R.)

File No. 149-91

Serial Nos. 1-5

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-5

Part B for August 1892

SUBJECT.

Patēl of Savanangu Bargenahalli village in the Nelamangala Taluk.

To the Deputy Comm., Bangalore Dist.,

No. D. 2685/P.T. 126-92 of 18-8-1892

Recording his report in regard to the restoration of Peti Chikkveeranda to the Patēl office of Savanangum Bargenahalli village in the Nelamangala Taluk.

WD 2233-GPB—20,000-11-6-20

Appeal no: 138 of 1891.

Petitioner.

Chikkaveerasanda
of Sarakamangala-
Banjara Kalli village,
Nelamangala hobli.

Petition may
be received
as regards
the amount

578792

Yes

Petition may
be received
as regards
the amount

1/8

Chikkanna Sanda, the
Karkun Patel left the
village during the famine
of 1877. Petitioner's brother
Veerabhadre Sanda was
appointed for the time being.
He died duly for 2 years &
2 days in the year Fasli
1877-78. The Petitioner
took up the duties there-
after although he was
not appointed Patel formally.
He continued to do work
till July 1891, when the
Shirastadar had appointed
the Counter petitioner
Chikkanna Sanda as
Patel and the Deputy Comr:
approved of the appoint-
ment

(20)

The appeals against
the above order. But
the Dy Comr in
submitting the Proc. of
the Case reports that
the Petitioner has since
been restored to the Kotchi
Office, on the ground
that the Counter-Petitioner
relinquished the land more
than 16 years ago and
did not seek to recover
it till 1890, & that the
Petitioner is better
qualified to hold
the Office than
the Chidambaram pander.
26/7/92

Appeal is written and
Petition impressively arranged.
Copy of the order furnished.
Patel Petitioner, para [illegible]
of Godhardes.

Proceedings to be called for
with a free envelope.

5/12/91 -

(Gener[redacted]32.)

189 .

No. 1585

Name of Petitioner. Chickanna gowda

Village. Baregowdanahally.

Taluk. Nelamangala

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 16.11.91

Date of Receipt. 26.11.91

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

States that, he
Chickanna Gowda the fo
patel of the above village
being guilty of misconduct
was on the complaint
the ryots and by reading
dismissed from the Patel
The petitioners brother
in 1875 appointed as Pa
After his death, the pet
succeeded to the po
Though no order of A

given him y[redacted]as
t only been performing the
ated duties and receiving
the emoluments connected
ie it, and has been styled
nd recognized by both
Sriranga Patil and
overnment as Patel (as per
closure sent herewith).
his being the case, the matt
order No 44 dated 22/7/91
pelled the petitioner
deliver charge of Patili
the said Chickanagouda
nd the Deputy Commissioner
his order No 301 dated 15/7/91
ctioned the same. This
not justifiable, for the
titioner is not guilty of
y crime or even misconduct.
Hereas, the said Chickanagouda
s been legally dismissed
om the post for his own
isconduct.

Therefore petitioner prays

for enquiry and justice.

N.B. If the time of appeal has elapsed it is not the petitioner's fau for; he applied for the copy of the above order on the 8th August last. His subsequent applications were unsuccessful Ultimately he was able to get on 16/11/91 the copy of the order as diary entered in the ~~diary~~.

Sri Sivagunga mutta order no 44 dated 22/7/91

The appointment of Chickanna gowda is sanctioned by the Deputy Commissioner in letter no 301 dated 18/7/91 and so you are hereby ordered to hand over

the charge of the Patel
to him.

Copy of the letter
No 301 dated 15/7/91
as entered in the
District diary book.

Regarding the subject,
that Chickanagouda
is the hereditary and he
must be appointed in-
stead of present Patel,
whatever the matter have
arranged, we approve.

Bubbalau

Copied C. G.
14/12/91

No. 180 K (2)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 1863
dated 16-11-97 received at the
Dewan's Office from Circle Inspector,
Baringipura Circle, Malavangala Taluk,

is forwarded, together with its 22
enclosures, to the Deputy Commr.
Bangalore Dist. for
Submission of Pro: with a
precis thereof, and a report

By Order,

for Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
15/12/1897.

Drafted per
Ag's order

(General Serial No. 29.)

OFFICE OF THE
1892-93 485
MAY 92
DEWAN OF MYSORE

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 5th May 1892

Despatched 6th Do

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

D No. 126.

In reply to his Memo
No. 738/21 dated 14th April
last, calling for a report
on the petition of Chick
Veerégowda, Baregunhalli,
Nelamangala Taluk, has
the honor to state that
as, from the file received
from the Amildar, the
counter petitioners Eques C
for 20 days time to pro-
-duce certain papers in
support of his case, has
not been allowed, the same

B1
7-5-92

has not been granted
and a fresh report on
the subject will be
made by the end of
the current month.

M. Jagannadha Rao
Dy. Commissioner

MMS

N

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
10 JUN. 92
P. 29

1892 — 2977

From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 8th June/92

Despatched 10th — Do —

Received

Enclosures No. 1 & 2 folios

General No.

D No. 267.

In reply to Ch: Secy's Memo dt. 180 dt/15th Dec/91.

SUBJECT.

Recommends the confirmation of Chikka Veerajoida as Patel of Barigenahalli Kolla-mangala Taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

In the case No. 2695 P. No. 12872 27/7/92

Submitted to Council - with Memo

H 26/7/92

Pers Branch 10/8/92

A1 11-8-92

D.O. No. 267. By Commissioner's Office.

Encl. 1 to 2 files

Bangalore District.
Dated 8th June 92.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office docket No. 126 dated 5th Ultimo, regarding petition from Chikkaveerayanda of Barigenahalli, Nelamangala Taluk, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the entire records with papers connected therewith, as called for in your official Memo No. 150 dated 15th December 1891.

Enclosures returned

2. The procedure adopted by the Amildar in this matter is open to objection, it will be informed that, in future, no holder of a village office be disturbed, except with the previous confirmation of the Deputy Commissioner, as required by para IV of the Revenue Rules. The

petitioner Chikkaveerasowda, has been restored by the Guru since his returning the Government. He can read and write and seems to have won the confidence of the ryots, he and his brother have held the Office for more than 16 years, except when he was disturbed, temporarily, by the Mutt. His friend, Chikkamma Gowda, seems to be the trouble, but he relinquished his link, more than 16 years ago, and never sought to recover it till 1890. He cannot read or write. I beg to recommend that the present arrangement may be confirmed.

Have the honor to be

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant

K. Venkataramanaiah

for Deputy Commissioner

Mys

(2)

Potaturu
No 174 dated
25.7.90

One Chikkanna Gowda,
Patel Raidu of Sampenally
village, belonging to the Vem-
-kanga Naik, Pallamangala
Sabha, petitioned the Magni
Department, praying that the
Patel Swan Land, pitty ac-
-cused, may be continued on
a Jodi; and that the Patel Inam
may be restored to him.

Magani
No 221 of
30.7.90
No 22 of
6.12.90
No 32 of
10.6.91

This petition was forwarded
to the Amin for enquiry, who
reported the case of the first
Agent and the Shanbhogue.
They stated that the, Petitioner,
Chikkanna Gowda was the
Patel, that he had left
the village during the Lachamine
and returned 2 or 3 years
afterwards. Meanwhile, there
was "Pukar" and one Venka-
-kadu Gowda Brother of the
Non-working Patel, Venkatara
Gowda

Gowda is appointed for the time being.

They also recommended the confirmation of Venkatagowda as, Retd.

He gave directions re- his order of dated Chikkanagowda of 22-6-91 the Petitioner removing Chikkavenkatagowda from Service.

His arrangement of the 30th of Instt, was signed by, the 28.7.91
the Inspl Officer and the Deputy Commissioner. -

On this, Chikkavenkatagowda (the Revisn Petr) applied, 150 & dated
stating that his Father and 6.11.91 -
himself had been doing the politicals for 5 years, and on the occasion of his Father's nomination, Chikkanagowda (the Petitioner, Retd) was removed from Service, as he was doing injury to the Congress and the Govt, and prayed that he (Chikkavenkatagowda) might

be

be confirmed

Chief Secy's Memo No 180 of 16-11-91 — The petition was received at this office for transmission of the entire proceedings with prisoner

Memorandum No 718 dated 6-1-92 — The Govt was accordingly requested to submit the entire records.

Secretariat No 643 of 22-3-92 — The Govt then stated that from a perusal of the records, it appeared that Chickkanna Gowda had himself deposed that he had prior to the famine given up the patel work, that his petition to the Deputy Commissioner for restoration was refused, that (the Appellant) Chikkaveere Gowda's brother Veerabhadre Gowda and the Appellant had been uninterruptedly doing the patel work for 16 years,

that the former "Greenwich"
reckoning (Respondent's)
Chikkanna gowda, was
based on the Chandrappa's
statement that all the village
-Pyols were of opinion that
Appellant Chikkanna gowda
should be continued as
patel; that Chikkanna
-gowda (Respondent) had
no influence in the village
and was not competent,
that a patel ought to be
able to discharge the
work, and read and write
that the Appellant Chikka
-veeragowda possessed
these qualifications.
He then added that as
Appellant Chikkanna
-gowda had worked for

20 years and has experience he has confirmed in the policeship; and that in anticipation of election he assumed the work from Chikkanagouda (Respondent).

Inquiry order No 17 of 6-4-92

The entire file was forwarded to the Circle for Enquiry and report with opinion.

No 16 dated 24-4-92

The Circle after hearing both the parties reported that Appellant Chikkanagouda's brother Veerabhadrappa and the Appellant had worked for 16 years; that Chikkanagouda (Respondent) left the village during the Famine, and did not seek to get back the policeship after his return; that Appellant's Chikka

(22)

– Vereeniging appeared to be friendly with the people. Knew to write.

It having been reported that Lieutenant Muggeridge had failed to produce certain papers, 15 days time was accordingly granted. This now reported that he has produced 4 papers which are enclosed.

Memo No 147 of 5-5-92 and memo No 39 of 23-8-92

Malcolm Macaw

for Native Commissioner

Vry

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 .

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commr
Bangalore

Dated 17
Despatched 18 } August 1892
Received

Enclosures No. 1 file of 1892

General No. 2695
Pol. F. No. 126-92

Reporting his report
that, the three Gowdas
have since been restored
to their offices of Sarva-
mangam Kargeetalli
village, Nelamangala
Taluk.

—o—.

References to be marked.

Read

Letter No 2/4/38 June 1938

from the Commissioner, Eastern Province, stating that the grave of the Bergenzonis Native near Umtata, situated between the Bergenzonis Native Location and Umtata Native Location, is in a neglected condition, and requesting that the matter may be investigated and that the necessary steps may be taken to have the grave properly fenced.

—o—

Order thereon

Recorded

Chief Secy

17 Aug 38

Chief Native Commissioner

Eastern Province

for information.